

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

BRADY ALAN MISNER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	:	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION
	:	
BRYANT & STRATON COLLEGE INC.	:	
	:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	:	
	:	
	:	

1. Brady Alan Misner (“Plaintiff”) brings this class action against Bryant & Straton College Inc. (“Defendant”) under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227.

2. Upon information and good faith belief, Defendant routinely violates 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by using an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with non-emergency calls it places to telephone numbers assigned to a cellular telephone service, without prior express consent.

3. More specifically, upon information and good faith belief, Defendant routinely uses an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with non-emergency calls it places to wrong or reassigned cellular telephone numbers.

Jurisdiction and Venue

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) as a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this district.

6. Defendant directed artificial or prerecorded voice messages to Plaintiff’s cellular

telephone from this district.

Parties

7. Plaintiff is a natural person located in this District.
8. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times was, a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
9. Defendant is a corporation that is registered to do business in Ohio.
10. Defendant is, and at all relevant times was, a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
11. As part of its business, Defendant places outbound calls using recorded messages.

Factual Allegations

12. Plaintiff is the subscriber to and customary user of his cellular telephone number XXX-XXX-1717.
13. Defendant began placing calls to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 in October of 2024, or earlier.
14. Defendant placed calls to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 intending to reach someone other than Plaintiff.
15. Defendant placed at least six calls to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 in October 2024.
16. Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with the calls it placed to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717.
17. For example, on October 7, 2024, Defendant placed a call to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 and delivered a prerecorded voice message that

Hey, there. This is Jillian from Bryant & Stratton College. I hope you're doing well today. I'm calling because you expressed interest in furthering your education and I'd love to get to know you, share more about our programs...

18. The Caller ID on the call was 385-265-3094.
19. A telephone call to 385-265-3094 identifies the Defendant as having called.
20. Defendant's voice messages were generic.
21. Given the generic nature of the messages, the content of the messages, and that the tone, voice, content, and style, the messages Defendant delivered to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 were prerecorded in nature.
22. The pattern and tone of the speech made clear to Plaintiff that the messages Defendant played were prerecorded in nature.
23. Plaintiff does not have, nor did he have, an account with Defendant.
24. Plaintiff does not, nor did, owe any money to Defendant.
25. Plaintiff did not provide telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 to Defendant.
26. Plaintiff did not provide Defendant with consent to place calls, in connection with which it used an artificial or prerecorded voice, to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717.
27. Defendant placed the subject calls to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 voluntarily.
28. Defendant placed the subject calls to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717 under its own free will.
29. Defendant had knowledge that it was using an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with the subject calls it placed to telephone number XXX-XXX-1717.
30. Plaintiff listened to the voice messages Defendant delivered to his cellular telephone.

31. Plaintiff suffered actual harm as a result Defendant's subject calls, in connection with which it used an artificial or prerecorded voice, in that he suffered an invasion of privacy, an intrusion into his life, and a private nuisance.

32. Upon information and good faith belief, Defendant, as a matter of pattern and practice, uses an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with calls it places to telephone numbers assigned to a cellular telephone service, absent prior express consent.

Class Action Allegations

33. Plaintiff brings this action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and as a representative of the following class:

All persons throughout the United States (1) to whom Bryant & Straton College Inc. placed, or caused to be placed, a call, (2) directed to a number assigned to a cellular telephone service, but not assigned to a person with an account in collections with Bryant & Straton College Inc., (3) in connection with which Bryant & Straton College Inc. used an artificial or prerecorded voice, (4) from four years prior to the filing of this complaint through the date of class certification.

34. Excluded from the class are Defendant, Defendant's officers and directors, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Defendant has or had a controlling interest.

35. Upon information and belief, the members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all of them is impracticable.

36. The exact number of the members of the class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, and can be determined only through appropriate discovery.

37. The members of the class are ascertainable because they are defined by reference to objective criteria.

38. In addition, the members of the class are identifiable in that, upon information and belief, their telephone numbers, names, and addresses can be identified in business records

maintained by Defendant and by third parties.

39. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class.

40. As it did for all members of the class, Defendant placed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number in connection with which it used an artificial or prerecorded voice.

41. In addition, like all members of the class, Plaintiff did not have an account in collections with Defendant.

42. Plaintiff's claims, and the claims of the members of the class, originate from the same conduct, practice, and procedure on the part of Defendant.

43. Plaintiff's claims are based on the same theories as the claims of the members of the class.

44. Plaintiff suffered the same injuries as the members of the class.

45. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class.

46. Plaintiff's interests in this matter are not directly or irrevocably antagonistic to the interests of the members of the class.

47. Plaintiff will vigorously pursue the claims of the members of the class.

48. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced and competent in class action litigation.

49. Plaintiff's counsel will vigorously pursue this matter.

50. Plaintiff's counsel will assert, protect, and otherwise represent the members of the class.

51. The questions of law and fact common to the members of the class predominate over questions that may affect individual members of the class.

52. Issues of law and fact common to all members of the class include:

a. Defendant's violations of the TCPA;

- b. Defendant's conduct, pattern, and practice as it pertains to dialing wrong or reassigned cellular telephone numbers;
- c. Defendant's conduct, pattern, and practice as it pertains to placing calls with an artificial or prerecorded voice to wrong or reassigned cellular telephone numbers;
- d. Defendant's use of an artificial or prerecorded voice; and
- e. The availability of statutory penalties.

53. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this matter.

54. If brought and prosecuted individually, the claims of the members of the class would require proof of the same material and substantive facts.

55. The pursuit of separate actions by individual members of the class would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the class, and could substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

56. The pursuit of separate actions by individual members of the class could create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, which might establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.

57. These varying adjudications and incompatible standards of conduct, in connection with presentation of the same essential facts, proof, and legal theories, could also create and allow the existence of inconsistent and incompatible rights within the class.

58. The damages suffered by individual members of the class may be relatively small, thus, the expense and burden to litigate each of their claims individually make it difficult for the members of the class to redress the wrongs done to them.

59. The pursuit of Plaintiff's claims, and the claims of the members of the class, in one forum will achieve efficiency and promote judicial economy.

60. There will be little difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

61. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the members of the class, making final declaratory or injunctive relief appropriate.

Count I
Violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii)

62. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every factual allegation contained in paragraphs 1-61.

63. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by using an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with calls it placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number and the cellular telephone numbers of the members of the class, without consent.

64. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii), Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Determining that this action is a proper class action;
- b) Designating Plaintiff as a representative of the class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23;
- c) Designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23;
- d) Adjudging and declaring that Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii);
- e) Enjoining Defendant from continuing its violative behavior, including continuing to place calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, and to the cellular telephone

numbers of members of the class, in connection with which it uses an artificial or prerecorded voice;

- f) Awarding Plaintiff and the members of the class damages under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- g) Awarding Plaintiff and the members of the class treble damages under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
- h) Awarding Plaintiff and the class reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- i) Awarding Plaintiff and the members of the class any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law; and
- j) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Demand for Jury Trial

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all triable issues.

PLAINTIFF,
By his attorney

/s/ Brian T. Giles
Brian T. Giles (0072806)
GILES & HARPER, LLC
7247 Beechmont Ave.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230
Telephone: (513) 379-2715
bgiles@gilesharper.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs